

**COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS****Amendment to Notice of Public Meeting of the Indiana Advisory Committee**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Indiana Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 1:00 p.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. on September 16, 1998, has a location change. The new location is the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Room 5, 402 W. Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana. This notice originally published in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 1998, vol. 63, no. 170, FR 46751. This notice is change of meeting location only.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Paul Chase, 317-920-3190, or Constance M. Davis, Director of the Midwestern Regional Office, 312-353-8311 (TDD 312-353-8362). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, September 2, 1998.

**Stephanie Y. Moore,**

*Acting Solicitor.*

[FR Doc. 98-24577 Filed 9-9-98; 3:27 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Docket 32-98]

**Proposed Foreign-Trade Zones—Lancaster, CA; Extension of Public Comment Period**

The comment period for the above case, submitted by the City of Lancaster, California, requesting authority for a new general-purpose zone in the Lancaster (Antelope Valley) area is extended to October 20, 1998, to allow interested parties additional time in which to comment on the proposal.

Comments in writing are invited during this period. Submissions should include (Original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below.

Office of the Executive Secretary,  
Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S.  
Department of Commerce, Room  
3716, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue,  
NW, Washington, DC 20230

Dated: September 4, 1998.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-24602 Filed 9-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-122-822]

**Notice of Court Decision: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of court decision

**SUMMARY:** On July 23, 1998, the United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") affirmed the determination made by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") pursuant to a remand of the final results of administrative review in the case of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada. *AK Steel Corp. et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 98-106 (CIT, July 23, 1998) ("AK Steel"). In its remand determination, the Department corrected ministerial errors in the calculation of Stelco Inc.'s ("Stelco") margin, eliminated the credit for partial reversal of prior period charges from Dofasco Inc.'s/Sorevco's ("Dofasco") cost calculation, and determined that Continuous Colour Coat's ("CCC") post-invoicing price adjustment methodology for credit and debit notes allocated to multiple sales was acceptable.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 3, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lyn Baranowski (Dofasco), Carrie Blozy (CCC), N. Gerard Zapiain (Stelco) or Rick Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1385, 482-0165, 482-1395, or 482-3818, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 28, 1996, the Department published its final results of administrative review of the antidumping order on corrosion-resistant steel from Canada. See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Canada; Final*

*Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews*, 61 FR 13815 (March 28, 1996) ("Final Results"). The review covered three manufacturers/exporters, CCC, Dofasco, and Stelco, of the subject merchandise for the period February 4, 1993, through July 31, 1994.

On November 14, 1997, in its Memorandum Opinion in the case of *AK Steel Corp. et al. v. United States*, Slip Op 97-152 (CIT, November 14, 1997) ("Memorandum Opinion"), the CIT remanded three issues to the Department. For CCC, the Department was ordered to reconsider post-invoicing adjustments to price and indicate where on the record the adjustments in question are shown to be properly related, either directly or through allocation, to specific sales transactions. Memorandum Opinion at 58. For Dofasco, the Department was ordered to reconsider Dofasco's partial reversal of restructuring charges. The CIT determined that the Department must "eliminate the credit for the reversals unless it can articulate a rational reason for abandoning its past practice." Memorandum Opinion at 32. Finally, for Stelco, the Department requested, and was granted, a remand to correct ministerial errors in Stelco's final margin calculation.

**I. CCC****A. Background**

In its final results of administrative review, the Department determined that CCC's price adjustment methodology regarding credit or debit notes for sales in both the home market and United States was acceptable. Specifically, the Department determined that the allocation of a credit or debit note over multiple invoices was reasonable and accepted these notes as direct adjustments. *Final Results* at 13822.

**B. Post-Invoicing Price Adjustments**

Through an examination of the record, the Department determined that of the twenty home market and U.S. sales examined during verification, only four home market and zero U.S. sales involved post-invoicing adjustments. For the first two home market sales, the Department found an acceptable level of price specificity in CCC's price adjustment methodology. The third home market sale involved a credit note which referenced one work-order. The work-order contained multiple invoices and CCC allocated the credit note to all transactions made pursuant to the work-order on a weighted average basis. Because of CCC's inability to match the returned merchandise to the coil identified on the internal complaint